

Literatur zum Artikel

Antiseptisch beschichtetes Nahtmaterial

1. Fleck T, Moidl R, Blacky A, et al (2007) Triclosan-coated sutures for the reduction of sternal wound infections: economic considerations. *Ann Thorac Surg* 84: 232–236
2. Picó RB, Jiménez LA, Sánchez MC, et al (2008) Prospective study comparing the incidence of wound infection following appendectomy for acute appendicitis in children: conventional treatment versus using reabsorbable antibacterial suture or gentamicin-impregnated collagen fleeces. *Cir Pediatr* 21: 199–202
3. Justinger C, Moussavian MR, Schlueter, C et al (2009) Antibiotic coating of abdominal closure sutures and wound infection. *Surgery* 145: 330–334
4. Justinger C, Schuld J, Sperling J, et al (2011) Triclosan-coated sutures reduce wound infections after hepatobiliary surgery – a prospective non-randomized clinical pathway driven study. *Langenbecks Arch Surg* 396: 845
5. Stadler S, Fleck T (2011) Triclosan-coated sutures for the reduction of sternal wound infections? A retrospective observational analysis. *Interact Cardiovasc Thorac Surg* 13: 296–299
6. Laas E, Poilroux C, Bézu C, et al (2012) Antibacterial-coated suture in reducing surgical site infection in breast surgery: a prospective study. *Int J Breast Cancer* 2012: 819578
7. Hoshino S, Yoshida Y, Tanimura S, et al (2013) A study of the efficacy of antibacterial sutures for surgical site infection: a retrospective controlled trial. *Int Surg* 98: 129–132
8. Ueno M, Saito W, Yamagata M, et al (2015) Triclosan-coated sutures reduce wound infections after spinal surgery: a retrospective, nonrandomized, clinical study. *Spine J* 15: 933–938
9. Hedde-Parison A, Minchella A, Bastide S, et al (2013) Surgical site infections in vaginal prolapse surgery. *Prog Urol* 23: 1474–1481
10. Fracalvieri D, Kreisler Moreno E, Flor Lorente B, et al (2014) Predictors of wound infection in elective colorectal surgery. Multicenter observational case-control study. *Cir Esp* 92: 478–484
11. Itatsu K, Sugawara G, Kaneoka Y, et al (2014) Risk factors for incisional surgical site infections in elective surgery for colorectal cancer: focus on intraoperative meticulous wound management. *Surg Today* 44: 1242–1252
12. Okada N, Nakamura T, Ambo Y, et al (2014) Triclosan-coated abdominal closure sutures reduce the incidence of surgical site infections after pancreaticoduodenectomy. *Surg Infect (Larchmt)* 15: 305–309
13. Takeno S, Yamashita K, Yamashita Y et al (2016) The results of a propensity score matching analysis of the efficacy of abdominal fascia and skin closure using PDS® plus antibacterial (polydioxanone) sutures on the incidence of superficial incisional surgical site infections after gastroenterologic surgery. *Surg Infect (Larchmt)* 17: 94–99
14. Yamashita K, Takeno S, Hoshino S, et al (2016) Triclosan sutures for surgical site infection in colorectal cancer. *J Surg Res* 206: 16–21
15. Ruiz-Tovar J, Alonso N, Ochagavía A, et al (2018) Effect of the abdominal fascial closure with triclosan-coated sutures in fecal peritonitis, on surgical site infection, and evisceration: a retrospective multi-center study. *Surg Infect (Larchmt)* 19: 61–64
16. Zhang Q, Zhang C, Fang X, et al (2018) Biomaterial suture vicryl plus reduces wound-related complications. *Ther Clin Risk Manag* 14: 1417–1421
17. Ford HR, Jones P, Gaines B, et al (2005) Intraoperative handling and wound healing: controlled clinical trial comparing coated vicryl plus antibacterial suture (coated polyglactin 910 suture with triclosan) with coated vicryl suture (coated polyglactin 910 suture). *Surg Infect (Larchmt)* 6: 313–321
18. Defazio A, Datta M, Nezhat C (2005) Does the use of vicryl™ plus antibacterial suture decrease the incidence of umbilical infection when compared to vicryl™ suture? *Fert Steril* 84 (Suppl 1): S161
19. Rozzelle CJ, Leonardo J, Li V (2008) Antimicrobial suture wound closure for cerebrospinal fluid shunt surgery: a prospective, double-blinded, randomized controlled trial. *J Neurosurg Pediatr* 2: 111–117
20. Zhuang CP, Cai GY, Wang YQ (2009) Comparison of two absorbable sutures in abdominal wall incision. *J Clin Rehab Tissue Eng Res* 13: 4045–4048
21. Mingmalairak C, Ungbhakorn P, Paocharoen V (2009) Efficacy of antimicrobial coating suture coated polyglactin 910 with triclosan (vicryl plus) compared with polyglactin 910 (vicryl) in reduced surgical site infection of appendicitis, double blind randomized control trial, preliminary safety report. *J Med Assoc Thai* 92: 770–775
22. Singh H, Emmert MY, Sakaguchi H (2010) Antibacterial suture reduces surgical site infections in coronary artery bypass grafting. *Heart Surg Forum* 13: S85
23. Zhang ZT, Zhang HW, Fang XD, et al (2011) Cosmetic outcome and surgical site infection rates of antibacterial absorbable (Polyglactin 910) suture compared to Chinese silk suture in breast cancer surgery: a randomized pilot research. *Chin Med J (Engl)* 124: 719–724
24. Chen SY, Chen TM, Dai NT, et al (2011) Do antibacterial-coated sutures reduce wound infection in head and neck cancer reconstruction? *Eur J Surg Oncol* 37: 300–304
25. Galal I, El-Hindawy K (2011) Impact of using triclosan-antibacterial sutures on incidence of surgical site infection. *Am J Surg* 202: 133–138
26. Baracs J, Huszár O, Sajjadi SG, et al (2011) Surgical site infections after abdominal closure in colorectal surgery using triclosan-coated absorbable suture (PDS Plus) vs. uncoated sutures (PDS II): a randomized multicenter study. *Surg Infect (Larchmt)* 12: 483–489
27. Khachatryan NDM, Omelyanovsky V, Chupalov M, Gasanova G (2011) Prevention of postoperative infections in abdominal surgery using reabsorbable suture with antibacterial activity (vicryl™ plus) versus reabsorbable standard sutures. *Surg Infect (Larchmt)* 12 (Suppl 2): A13–A14
28. Williams N, Sweetland H, Goyal S, et al (2011) Randomized trial of antimicrobial-coated sutures to prevent surgical site infection after breast cancer surgery. *Surg Infect (Larchmt)* 12: 469–474
29. Rasić Z, Schwarz D, Adam VN, et al (2011) Efficacy of antimicrobial triclosan-coated polyglactin 910 (vicryl™ plus) suture for closure of the abdominal wall after colorectal surgery. *Coll Antropol* 35: 439–443
30. Isik I, Selimen D, Senay S, et al (2012) Efficiency of antibacterial suture material in cardiac surgery: a double-blind randomized prospective study. *Heart Surg Forum* 15: E40–45
31. Seim BE, Tønnessen T, Woldbaek PR (2012) Triclosan-coated sutures do not reduce leg wound infections after coronary artery bypass grafting. *Interact Cardiovasc Thorac Surg* 15: 411–415
32. Turtiainen J, Saimanen EI, Mäkinen KT, et al (2012) Effect of triclosan-coated sutures on the incidence of surgical wound infection after lower limb revascularization surgery: a randomized controlled trial. *World J Surg* 36: 2528–2534
33. Nakamura T, Kashimura N, Noji T, et al (2013) Triclosan-coated sutures reduce the incidence of wound infections and the costs after colorectal surgery: a randomized controlled trial. *Surgery* 153: 576–583
34. Justinger C, Slotta JE, Ningel S, et al (2013) Surgical-site infection after abdominal wall closure with triclosan-impregnated polydioxanone sutures: results of a randomized clinical pathway facilitated trial (NCT00998907). *Surgery* 154: 589–595
35. Thimour-Bergström L, Roman-Emanuel C, Scherstén H, et al (2013) Triclosan-coated sutures reduce surgical site infection after open vein harvesting in coronary artery bypass grafting patients: a randomized controlled trial. *Eur J Cardiothorac Surg* 44: 931–938

36. Yam JM, Orlina EA (2013) Effectiveness of antimicrobial sutures in preventing surgical site infection in clean-contaminated wounds – a preliminary study. *Surg Infect (Larchmt)* 14 (Suppl 1): S29
37. Diener MK, Knebel P, Kieser M, et al (2014) Effectiveness of triclosan-coated PDS plus versus uncoated PDS II sutures for prevention of surgical site infection after abdominal wall closure: the randomised controlled PROUD trial. *Lancet* 384: 142–152
38. Mattavelli I, Rebora P, Doglietto G, et al (2015) Multi-center randomized controlled trial on the effect of triclosan-coated sutures on surgical site infection after colorectal surgery. *Surg Infect (Larchmt)* 16: 226–235
39. Ruiz-Tovar J, Alonso N, Morales V, et al (2015) Association between triclosan-coated sutures for abdominal wall closure and incisional surgical site infection after open surgery in patients presenting with fecal peritonitis: a randomized clinical trial. *Surg Infect (Larchmt)* 16: 588–594
40. Steingrimsson S, Thimour-Bergström L, Roman-Emanuel C, et al (2015) Triclosan-coated sutures and sternal wound infections: a prospective randomized clinical trial. *Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis* 34: 2331–2338
41. Karip AB, Celik K, Aydin T, et al (2016) Effect of triclosan-coated suture and antibiotic prophylaxis on infection and recurrence after karydakis flap repair for pilonidal disease: a randomized parallel-arm double-blinded clinical trial. *Surg Infect (Larchmt)* 17: 583–588
42. Renko M, Paalanne N, Tapiainen T, et al (2017) Triclosan-containing sutures versus ordinary sutures for reducing surgical site infections in children: a double-blind, randomised controlled trial. *Lancet Infect Dis* 17: 50–57
43. Adepu J, Adepu S (2018) A comparative study between polyglactin 910 versus triclosan-coated polyglactin 910 sutures on the incidence of surgical site infections. *J Cont Med A Dent* 6: 51–55
44. Arslan NC, Atasoy G, Altintas T, et al (2018) Effect of triclosan-coated sutures on surgical site infections in pilonidal disease: prospective randomized study. *Int J Colorectal Dis* 33: 1445–1452
45. Ichida K, Noda H, Kikugawa R, et al (2018) Effect of triclosan-coated sutures on the incidence of surgical site infection after abdominal wall closure in gastroenterological surgery: a double-blind, randomized controlled trial in a single center. *Surgery: S0039-6060(17)30893-0*. doi: 10.1016/j.surg.2017.12.020
46. Lin SJ, Chang FC, Huang TW, et al (2018) Temporal change of Interleukin-6, c-reactive protein, and skin temperature after total knee arthroplasty using triclosan-coated sutures. *Biomed Res Int* 2018: 9136208
47. Sprowson AP, Jensen C, Parsons N, et al (2018) The effect of triclosan-coated sutures on the rate of surgical site infection after hip and knee arthroplasty: a double-blind randomized controlled trial of 2546 patients. *Bone Joint J* 100-B: 296–302
48. Suhas M RM, Venkata Reddy M, Inamdar P, Vijayendra P (2018) Efficacy of antibacterial coated versus uncoated suture material in subcutaneous closure of laparotomy incisions in prevention of postoperative superficial surgical site infection. *Ind J Appl Res* 8: 51–52
49. Olmez T, Berkesoglu M, Turkmengoglu O, et al (2019) Effect of triclosan-coated suture on surgical site infection of abdominal fascial closures. *Surg Infect (Larchmt)* 20: 658–664
50. Tabrizi R, Mohajerani H, Bozorgmehr F (2019) Polyglactin 910 suture compared with polyglactin 910 coated with triclosan in dental implant surgery: randomized clinical trial. *Int J Oral Maxillofac Surg* 48: 1367–1371
51. Santos PSF, Santos M, Colafranceschi AS, et al (2019) Effect of using triclosan-impregnated polyglactin suture to prevent infection of saphenectomy wounds in CABG: a prospective, double-blind, randomized clinical trial. *Braz J Cardiovasc Surg* 34: 588–595
52. Ruiz-Tovar J, Llaveró C, Jiménez-Fuertes M, et al (2020) Incisional surgical site infection after abdominal fascial closure with triclosan-coated barbed suture vs triclosan-coated polydioxanone loop suture vs polydioxanone loop suture in emergent abdominal surgery: a randomized clinical trial. *J Am Coll Surg* 230: 766–774
53. Chang WK, Srinivasa S, Morton R, et al (2012) Triclosan-impregnated sutures to decrease surgical site infections: systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized trials. *Ann Surg* 255: 854–859
54. Sajid MS, Craciunas L, Sains P, et al (2013) Use of antibacterial sutures for skin closure in controlling surgical site infections: a systematic review of published randomized, controlled trials. *Gastroenterol Rep (Oxf)* 1: 42–50
55. Edmiston CE Jr, Daoud FC, Leaper D (2014) Is there an evidence-based argument for embracing an antimicrobial (triclosan)-coated suture technology to reduce the risk for surgical-site infections? A meta-analysis. *Surgery* 155: 362–363
56. Wang ZX, Jiang CP, Cao Y, et al (2013) Systematic review and meta-analysis of triclosan-coated sutures for the prevention of surgical-site infection. *Br J Surg* 100: 465–473
57. Daoud FC, Edmiston CE Jr, Leaper D (2014) Meta-analysis of prevention of surgical site infections following incision closure with triclosan-coated sutures: robustness to new evidence. *Surg Infect (Larchmt)* 15: 165–181
58. Apisarnthanarak A, Singh N, Bandong AN et al (2015) Triclosan-coated sutures reduce the risk of surgical site infections: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol* 36: 169–179
59. Guo J, Pan LH, Li YX, et al (2016) Efficacy of triclosan-coated sutures for reducing risk of surgical site infection in adults: a meta-analysis of randomized clinical trials. *J Surg Res* 201: 105–117
60. Sandini M, Mattavelli I, Nespoli L, et al (2016) Systematic review and meta-analysis of sutures coated with triclosan for the prevention of surgical site infection after elective colorectal surgery according to the PRISMA statement. *Medicine (Baltimore)* 95: e4057
61. Wu X, Kubilay NZ, Ren J, et al (2017) Antimicrobial-coated sutures to decrease surgical site infections: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis* 36: 19–32
62. de Jonge SW, Atema JJ, Solomkin JS et al (2017) Meta-analysis and trial sequential analysis of triclosan-coated sutures for the prevention of surgical-site infection. *Br J Surg* 104: e118–e133
63. Elsolh B, Zhang L, Patel SV (2017) The effect of antibiotic-coated sutures on the incidence of surgical site infections in abdominal closures: a meta-analysis. *J Gastrointest Surg* 21: 896–903
64. Henriksen NA, Deerenberg EB, Venclauskas L, et al (2017) Triclosan-coated sutures and surgical site infection in abdominal surgery: the TRISTAN review, meta-analysis and trial sequential analysis. *Hernia* 21: 833–841
65. Konstantelias AA, Andriakopoulou CS, Mourgela S (2017) Triclosan-coated sutures for the prevention of surgical-site infections: a meta-analysis. *Acta Chir Belg* 117: 137–148
66. Leaper DJ, Edmiston CE Jr, Holy CE (2017) Meta-analysis of the potential economic impact following introduction of absorbable antimicrobial sutures. *Br J Surg* 104: e134–e144
67. Hunger R, Mantke A, Herrmann C, et al (2019) Triclosan-beschichtete Nahtmaterialien in der kolorektalen Chirurgie: Bewertung und Metaanalyse zu den Empfehlungen der WHO-Richtlinie. *Chirurg* 90: 37–46
68. Uchino M, Mizuguchi T, Ohge H, et al (2018) The efficacy of antimicrobial-coated sutures for preventing incisional surgical site infections in digestive surgery: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Gastrointest Surg* 22: 1832–1841
69. Ahmed I, Boulton AJ, Rizvi S, et al (2019) The use of triclosan-coated sutures to prevent surgical site infections: a systematic review and meta-analysis of the literature. *BMJ Open* 9: e029727
70. Suetens C, Latour K, Kärki T, et al (2018) Prevalence of healthcare-associated infections, estimated incidence and composite antimicrobial resistance index in acute care hospitals and long-term care facilities: results from two European point prevalence surveys, 2016 to 2017. *Euro Surveill* 23(46): 1800516
71. Zacher B, Haller S, Willrich N, et al (2019) Application of a new methodology and R package reveals a high burden of healthcare-associated infections (HAI) in Germany compared to the average in the European Union/European Economic Area, 2011 to 2012. *Euro Surveill* 24(46): 1900135
72. Dietrich ES, Felder S, Kaier K (2018) Kosten nosokomialer Infektionen. In: Dettenkofer M, et al (Hrsg) *Praktische Krankenhaushygiene und Umweltschutz*. Springer, Heidelberg, S 335–346
73. Kampf G, Schumacher M, Daschner F, et al (1996) Postoperative Wundinfektionen in der Chirurgie – Prävalenz in Deutschland. *Langenbeck's Arch Chir Suppl (Kongressbd)* 113: 698–703
74. Behnke M, Aghdassi SJ, Hansen S, et al (2017) Prävalenz von nosokomialen Infektionen und Antibiotika-Anwendung in deutschen Krankenhäusern. *Dtsch Arztebl Int* 114: 851–857
75. <https://www.leading-medicine-guide.de/erkrankungen/infektionen/nosokomiale-infektion#topics> [Zugriff 15.9.2020]

76. Cheadle WG (2006) Risk factors for surgical site infection. *Surg Infect (Larchmt)* 7 (Suppl 1): S7–11
77. Weiser MR, Gonen M, Usiak S, et al (2018) Effectiveness of a multidisciplinary patient care bundle for reducing surgical-site infections. *Br J Surg* 105: 1680–1687
78. Tanner J, Padley W, Assadian O, et al (2015) Do surgical care bundles reduce the risk of surgical site infections in patients undergoing colorectal surgery? A systematic review and cohort meta-analysis of 8515 patients. *Surgery* 158: 66–77
79. Osterberg B, Blomstedt B (1979) Effect of suture materials on bacterial survival in infected wounds. An experimental study. *Acta Chir Scand* 145: 431–434
80. Chu CC, Williams DF (1984) Effects of physical configuration and chemical structure of suture materials on bacterial adhesion. A possible link to wound infection. *Am J Surg* 147: 197–204
81. Howe CW, Marston AT (1962) A study on sources of postoperative staphylococcal infection. *Surg Gynecol Obstet* 115: 266–275
82. Rodeheaver GT, Kurtz LD, Bellamy WT, et al (1983) Biocidal braided sutures. *Arch Surg* 118: 322–327
83. Chu CC, Tsai WC, Yao JY, et al (1987) Newly made antibacterial braided nylon sutures. I. In vitro qualitative and in vivo preliminary biocompatibility study. *J Biomed Mat Res* 21: 1281–1300
84. Sharp WV, Belden TA, King PH, et al (1982) Suture resistance to infection. *Surgery* 91: 61–63
85. Villalain J, Mateo CR, Aranda FJ, et al (2001) Membranotropic effects of the antibacterial agent Triclosan. *Arch Biochem Biophys* 390: 128–136
86. Lu H, Tonge PJ (2008) Inhibitors of FabI, an enzyme drug target in the bacterial fatty acid biosynthesis pathway. *Acc Chem Res* 41: 11–20
87. VICRYL™ Plus Antibacterial Suture, instructions for use. Ethicon Inc.
88. PDS™ Plus Antibacterial Suture, instructions for use. Ethicon Inc.
89. MONOCRYL™ Plus Antibacterial Suture, instructions for use. Ethicon Inc.
90. Chuanchuen R, Karkhoff-Schweizer RR, Schweizer HP (2003) High-level triclosan resistance in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* is solely a result of efflux. *Am J Infect Contr* 31: 124–127
91. Huang YH, Lin JS, Ma JC, et al (2016) Functional characterization of triclosan-resistant enoyl-acyl-carrier protein reductase (FabV) in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. *Front Microbiol* 7: 1903
92. Chen Y, Pi B, Zhou H, et al (2009) Triclosan resistance in clinical isolates of *Acinetobacter baumannii*. *J Med Microbiol* 58: 1086–1091
93. Escalada MG, Harwood JL, Maillard JY, et al (2005) Triclosan inhibition of fatty acid synthesis and its effect on growth of *Escherichia coli* and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 55: 879–882
94. Leaper D, McBain AJ, Kramer A, et al (2010) Healthcare associated infection: novel strategies and antimicrobial implants to prevent surgical site infection. *Ann R Coll Surg Engl* 92: 453–458
95. Onesti MG, Carella S, Scuderi N (2018) Effectiveness of antimicrobial-coated sutures for the prevention of surgical site infection: a review of the literature. *Eur Rev Med Pharmacol Sci* 22: 5729–5739
96. Ming X, Nichols M, Rothenburger S (2007) In vivo antibacterial efficacy of monocryl plus antibacterial suture (poliglecaprone 25 with triclosan). *Surg Infect (Larchmt)* 8: 209–214
97. Ming X, Rothenburger S, Nichols MM (2008) In vivo and in vitro antibacterial efficacy of PDS plus (polidioxanone with triclosan) suture. *Surg Infect (Larchmt)* 9: 451–457
98. Storch ML, Rothenburger SJ, Jacinto G (2004) Experimental efficacy study of coated vicryl plus antibacterial suture in guinea pigs challenged with staphylococcus aureus. *Surg Infect (Larchmt)* 5: 281–288
99. Sukeik M, George D, Gabr A, et al (2019) Randomised controlled trial of triclosan coated vs uncoated sutures in primary hip and knee arthroplasty. *World J Orthop* 10: 268–277
100. WHO. Guidelines for the prevention of surgical site infection. www.who.int/infection-prevention/publications/ssi-prevention-Guidelines/en
101. Ban KA, Minei JP, Laronga C, et al (2017) American College of Surgeons and Surgical Infection Society: surgical site infection guidelines, 2016 Update. *J Am Coll Surg* 224: 59–74
102. Supplemental guidance for prevention of SSI 2017. Verfügbar unter: <https://www.dhs.wisconsin.gov/publications/p01715.pdf> [Zugriff am 15.9.2020]
103. Berrios-Torres SI, Umscheid CA, Bratzler DW, et al (2017) Centers for disease control and prevention guideline for the prevention of surgical site infection, 2017. *JAMA Surg* 152: 784–791
104. KRINKO (2018) Prävention postoperativer Wundinfektionen. Empfehlung der Kommission für Krankenhaushygiene und Infektionsprävention (KRINKO). *Bundesgesundheitsbl* 61: 448–473
105. European network for health technology assessment (EUnetHTA). Project OTCA02. https://eunetha.eu/wp-content/uploads/2018/01/OTCA02_Antibacterial-coated-sutures-for-the-prevention-of-abdominal-SSI_0.pdf [Zugriff am 4.6.2020]
106. National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE), UK. Quality standard surgical site infection 2013. www.nice.org.uk/guidance/qs49 [Zugriff am 4.6.2020]
107. National Health and Medical Research Council of the Australian Government. Australian guidelines for the prevention and control of infection in health-care. <https://www.nhmrc.gov.au/about-us/publications/australian-guidelines-prevention-and-control-infection-healthcare-2019> [Zugriff am 4.6.2020]