

Literatur zum Artikel

Robotik in der Wirbelsäulen Chirurgie

1. Cole AP, Trinh QD, Sood A, et al (2017) The Rise of robotic surgery in the new millennium. *J Urol* 197: S213–215
2. Alkatout I, Mettler L, Maass N, et al (2016) Robotic surgery in gynecology. *J Turk Ger Gynecol Assoc* 17: 224–232
3. Peters BS, Armijo PR, Krause C, et al (2018) Review of emerging surgical robotic technology. *Surg Endosc* 32: 1636–1655
4. Modi HN, Suh SW, Hong JY, et al (2010) Accuracy of thoracic pedicle screw using ideal pedicle entry point in severe scoliosis. *Clin Orthop Relat Res* 468: 1830–1837
5. Sukovich W, Brink-Danan S, Hardenbrook M (2006) Miniature robotic guidance for pedicle screw placement in posterior spinal fusion: early clinical experience with the SpineAssist. *Int J Med Robot* 2: 114–122
6. Rajasekaran S, Vidyadhara S, Ramesh P, et al (2007) Randomized clinical study to compare the accuracy of navigated and non-navigated thoracic pedicle screws in deformity correction surgeries. *Spine* 32: E56–64
7. Verma R, Krishan S, Haendlmayer K, et al (2010) Functional outcome of computer-assisted spinal pedicle screw placement: a systematic review and meta-analysis of 23 studies including 5,992 pedicle screws. *Eur Spine J* 19: 370–375
8. Shin BJ, James AR, Njoku IU, et al (2012) Pedicle screw navigation: a systematic review and meta-analysis of perforation risk for computer-navigated versus freehand insertion. *J Neurosurg Spine* 17: 113–122
9. Marcus HJ, Cundy TP, Nandi D, et al (2014) Robot-assisted and fluoroscopy-guided pedicle screw placement: a systematic review. *Eur Spine J* 23: 291–297
10. Liu H, Chen W, Wang Z, et al (2016) Comparison of the accuracy between robot-assisted and conventional freehand pedicle screw placement: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Int J Comput Assist Radiol Surg* 11: 2273–2281
11. Zhang Q, Han XG, Xu YF, et al (2019) Robot-assisted versus fluoroscopy-guided pedicle screw placement in transforaminal lumbar interbody fusion for lumbar degenerative disease. *World Neurosurg* 125: e429–e434
12. Han X, Tian W, Liu Y, et al (2019) Safety and accuracy of robot-assisted versus fluoroscopy-assisted pedicle screw insertion in thoracolumbar spinal surgery: a prospective randomized controlled trial. *J Neurosurg Spine* Feb 8;1–8 doi: 10.3171/2018.10.SPINE18487 (Online ahead of print)
13. Schatlo B, Molliqaj G, Cuvinciu V, et al (2014) Safety and accuracy of robot-assisted versus fluoroscopy-guided pedicle screw insertion for degenerative diseases of the lumbar spine: a matched cohort comparison. *J Neurosurg Spine* 20: 636–643
14. Hyun SJ, Kim KJ, Jahng TA, et al (2017) Minimally invasive robotic versus open fluoroscopic-guided spinal instrumented fusions: a randomized controlled trial. *Spine* 42: 353–358
15. Lonjon N, Chan-Seng E, Costalat V, et al (2016) Robot-assisted spine surgery: feasibility study through a prospective case-matched analysis. *Eur Spine J* 25: 947–955
16. Roser F, Tatagiba M, Maier G (2018) Spinal robotics: current applications and future perspectives. *Neurosurgery* 72 Suppl 1: 12–18
17. Keric N, Eum DJ, Afghanyar F, et al (2017) Evaluation of surgical strategy of conventional vs. percutaneous robot-assisted spinal trans-pedicular instrumentation in spondylodiscitis. *J Robot Surg* 11: 17–25
18. Smith HE, Welsch MD, Sasso RC, et al (2008) Comparison of radiation exposure in lumbar pedicle screw placement with fluoroscopy vs computer-assisted image guidance with intraoperative three-dimensional imaging. *J Spinal Cord Med* 31: 532–537
19. Villard J, Ryang YM, Demetriades AK, et al (2014) Radiation exposure to the surgeon and the patient during posterior lumbar spinal instrumentation: a prospective randomized comparison of navigated versus non-navigated freehand techniques. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)* 39: 1004–1009
20. Kantelhardt SR, Martinez R, Baerwinkel S, et al (2011) Perioperative course and accuracy of screw positioning in conventional, open robotic-guided and percutaneous robotic-guided, pedicle screw placement. *Eur Spine J* 20: 860–868
21. Gelalis ID, Paschos NK, Pakos EE, et al (2012) Accuracy of pedicle screw placement: a systematic review of prospective in vivo studies comparing free hand, fluoroscopy guidance and navigation techniques. *Eur Spine J* 21: 247–255
22. Xiao R, Miller JA, Sabharwal NC, et al (2017) Clinical outcomes following spinal fusion using an intraoperative computed tomographic 3D imaging system. *J Neurosurg Spine* 26: 628–637
23. Staartjes VE, Klukowska AM, Schröder ML (2018) Pedicle screw revision in robot-guided, navigated, and freehand thoracolumbar instrumentation: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *World Neurosurg* 116: 433.e8
24. Watkins RG, Gupta A, Watkins RG (2010) Cost-effectiveness of image-guided spine surgery. *Open Orthop J* 4: 228–233
25. Zong S, Wu Y, Tao Y, et al (2015) Treatment results in different surgical approaches for intraspinal tumor in 51 patients. *Int J Clin Exp Med* 8: 16627–16633
26. Tian W, Han X, Liu B, et al (2014) A robot-assisted surgical system using a force-image control method for pedicle screw insertion. *PLoS One* 9: e86346
27. Nottmeier EW, Pirris SM, Balseiro S, et al (2010) Three-dimensional image-guided placement of S2 alar screws to adjunct or salvage lumbosacral fixation. *Spine J* 10: 595–601
28. Ray WZ, Ravindra VM, Schmidt MH, et al (2013) Stereotactic navigation with the O-arm for placement of S-2 alar iliac screws in pelvic lumbar fixation. *J Neurosurg Spine* 18: 490–495
29. Hu X, Lieberman IH (2017) Robotic-guided sacro-pelvic fixation using S2 alar iliac screws: feasibility and accuracy. *Eur Spine J* 26: 720–725
30. Bederman SS, Lopez G, Ji T, et al (2014) Robotic guidance for en bloc sacrectomy: a case report. *Spine* 39: E1398–401
31. Macke JJ, Woo R, Varich L (2016) Accuracy of robot-assisted pedicle screw placement for adolescent idiopathic scoliosis in the pediatric population. *J Robot Surg* 10: 145–150
32. Chan V, Wilson J, Ravinsky R, et al (2021) Frailty adversely affects outcomes of patients undergoing spine surgery: a systematic review. *Spine J* 21: 988–1000
33. Gertzbein SD, Robbins SE (1990) Accuracy of pedicular screw placement in vivo. *Spine* 15: 11–14
34. Ringel F, Stuer C, Reinke A, et al (2012) Accuracy of robot-assisted placement of lumbar and sacral pedicle screws: a prospective randomized comparison to conventional freehand screw implantation. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)* 37: E496–501
35. Li HM, Zhang RJ, Shen CL (2020) Accuracy of pedicle screw placement and clinical outcomes of robot-assisted technique versus conventional freehand technique in spine surgery from nine randomized controlled trials: a meta-analysis. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)* 45: E111–119
36. Hu X, Lieberman IH (2014) What is the learning curve for robotic-assisted pedicle screw placement in spine surgery? *Clin Orthop Relat Res* 472: 1839–1844
37. Schatlo B, Martinez R, Alaid A, et al (2015) Unskilled unawareness and the learning curve in robotic spine surgery. *Acta Neurochir (Wien)* 157: 1819–1823
38. Pojskić M, Bopp M, Nimsky C, et al (2021) Initial intraoperative experience with robotic-assisted pedicle screw placement with cirq® robotic alignment: an evaluation of the first 70 screws. *J Clin Med* 10: 5725
39. Spyranis A, Cattani A, Seifert V, et al (2019) Minimally invasive percutaneous robotic thoracolumbar pedicle screw implantation combined with three-dimensional fluoroscopy can reduce radiation: A cadaver and phantom study. *Int J Med Robot* 15: e2022